Approaches to reduce the disaster vulnerability of forcibly

displaced people: Humanitarian practitioners and the Rohingya

Thomas Johnson

Bachelor of Construction Management (Hons) (Newcastle) Master of Disaster Preparedness and Reconstruction (Newcastle)



Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Disaster Management

June 2023

This research was supported by an Australian Government Research Training Program (RTP) Scholarship

> School of Architecture and Built Environment College of Engineering, Science and Environment University of Newcastle, Australia

Note to readers

For ease of navigation of this thesis, all of the lines in the list of figures, list of tables, and table of contents, are links that will take you directly to the corresponding section in the document. Additionally, whenever another section is mentioned in the body of the text, you will be able to click on that word to navigate to the referenced section. All in-text citations will be linked to the full reference and DOI (digital object identifier) if available. After viewing the link, you can quickly return to your previous location in the document by selecting 'previous view' (**Alt** + **Left** on PC or \mathfrak{H} + **Left** on Mac).

Statement of Originality

I hereby certify that the work embodied in the thesis is my own work, conducted under normal supervision. The thesis contains no material which has been accepted, or is being examined, for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made. I give consent to the final version of my thesis being made available worldwide when deposited in the University's Digital Repository, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968 and any approved embargo.

Thomas Johnson

.....

Dedication

This work is dedicated to the countless individuals and families who have needlessly suffered as a result of disasters and forced displacement. I acknowledge the immense hardship and trauma they have endured, and the ongoing struggles faced in dispossession and displacement. May we commit to challenging the systems that perpetuate the marginalisation and persecution of these people. This study is also dedicated to the aid workers, volunteers, and organisations working tirelessly in response to the numerous displacement crises around the globe.

Acknowledgements

I would like to acknowledge the Pambalong Clan of the Awabakal People, the traditional custodians of the land on which The University of Newcastle is located. I pay my respects to their Elders, past, present, and future, for their ongoing stewardship of this land and for the knowledge and resilience that indigenous people have shown throughout history. I also recognise the importance of understanding the perspectives and experiences of indigenous peoples in addressing issues related to disaster vulnerability and forced displacement. In particular, a respect for *country*, distinctly lacking in decision-making processes regarding development and disaster risk, to shift from an extractive relationship with the earth towards a custodial one. Tyson Yunkaporta (2019, p. 3) conveys the importance of this in one simple phrase *"If you don't move with the land, the land will move you"*.

This research was supported by an Australian Government Research Training Program (RTP) Scholarship. I would also like to acknowledge the support of the professional team at the School of Architecture and Built Environment at the University of Newcastle. This thesis would not have been possible without the guidance and support of my supervisors Assoc. Prof. Thayaparan Gajendran, Assoc. Prof. Jason von Meding, and Dr. Helen Giggins. Their expert knowledge, patience and encouragement throughout the research process has been invaluable. I would like to express my deepest gratitude to them for their unwavering support and for providing me with the opportunity to work on such an important and meaningful topic. Their dedication to my academic and personal growth has been a constant source of motivation. Finally, I would like to acknowledge the support of my wife, Lily Cooper-Johnson. Without you, I would not have been able to continue this journey. Thank you for believing in me and being my inspiration.

Abstract

Displaced people living in temporary shelter are often more vulnerable to disasters than those with secure tenure. Displaced people, whether internally displaced within their country or refugees across international borders, are generally unable to address the emergent vulnerabilities due to a lack of access to resources, support networks and fundamental rights. In many instances, humanitarian organisations lack the knowledge or capacity to best reduce disaster vulnerability in displaced settlements.

Research on the vulnerability of displaced populations, their unique challenges in the disaster context, and how humanitarian organisations can assist in this context is limited. Moreover, the limited understanding of how displacement exacerbates pre-existing vulnerabilities (e.g., poverty and lack of access to healthcare) and affects the ability to prepare for and recover from disasters, poses significant challenges to practitioners in this field.

In this context, a knowledge gap exists in the discourse of disaster risk reduction concerning understanding of the disaster vulnerability of displaced people, their specific needs, and potential interventions. Furthermore, the knowledge gap extends to the long-term impacts of displacement on disaster risk and how displacement may impact access to housing, employment, and other resources needed for recovery. Addressing this knowledge gap can inform displaced populations' disaster preparedness and response strategies. Therefore, this research aims to explore the effects of humanitarian operations on the drivers of disaster vulnerability for forcibly displaced populations.

The conceptual framework for this study was developed using the Pressure and Release model and the literature on forced displacement, humanitarian response operations, and disaster vulnerability. Using abductive reasoning, the study develops propositions from the literature and further explores the propositions through a single phenomenological case study, utilising semi-structured interviews with thirty-two humanitarian practitioners. The data collected from these interviews were provisionally and structurally coded through Lumivero's NVivo software and explored through thematic analysis.

The research findings identify five key aspects. First, the disconnect between 'what is known about reducing disaster vulnerability' and 'what is implemented in practice by the humanitarian sector' contributes to significant levels of vulnerability in displaced populations. Second, among displaced populations, improving access to resources is not adequately utilised as a means to reduce vulnerability. Third, these shortcomings are negatively impacted by external influences from governments and donors that limit the ability of organisations to reduce vulnerability effectively. Fourth, intra-organisation coordination issues affect the outcomes of humanitarian programmes. The limitations of the cluster approach, lack of institutional knowledge, and difficulties in monitoring and evaluation impede the ability of organisations to reduce vulnerability effectively. Fifth, the humanitarian sector negatively affects outcomes by not adequately addressing social cohesion and aid equity. The lack of localisation of the NGO labour force, the increased strain on local resources, and unequal aid delivery lead to increased tensions between displaced populations and the host community which negatively impacts the drivers of vulnerability.

Overall, this thesis contributes to an understanding of how humanitarian operations can impact the disaster vulnerability of displaced populations and provides recommendations for improving the effectiveness of these operations in the future. This culminates in two key contributions. Firstly, a conceptual model based on the Pressure and Release model is

vi

produced specifically for the context of forced displacement crises. Secondly, the *vulnerability headway model* is proposed for focusing activities designed to reduce the disaster vulnerability of forcibly displaced people.

Keywords: Disaster vulnerability, forced displacement, Rohingya refugee crisis, humanitarian response, progression of vulnerability

List of Abbreviations

- ASEAN Association of Southeast Asian Nations
- ATR Access to Resources Model
- BOK Body of Knowledge
- CwC Communicating with Communities
- DRM Disaster Risk Management
- DRR Disaster Risk Reduction
- IASC Inter-Agency Standing Committee
- **IDPs** Internally Displaced Persons
- **IOM** International Organisation for Migration
- INGO International Non-Government Organisation
- ISCG Inter-Sector Coordination Group
- MEAL Monitoring Evaluation and Learning
- MOVE Methods for the Improvement of Vulnerability in Europe Framework
- NGO Non-Government Organisation
- PAR Pressure and Release Model
- **PMLD** Post-Migration Living Difficulties
- SDG United Nations Sustainable Development Goals
- Sendai Framework The Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015-2030
- TDK Tie Down Kits
- TOC Theory of Change
- UNDRO Office of the United Nations Disaster Relief Coordinator
- **UNHCR** United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
- **UNICEF** United Nations International Children's Fund
- UNOCHA United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs
- WASH Water, Sanitation and Hygiene
- WHO World Health Organisation
- WFP World Food Programme

Definition of Key Terms

- Capacity The combination of all the strengths, attributes and resources available within an organisation, community or society to manage and reduce disaster risks and strengthen resilience (UNDRR, 2023).
- **Disaster Risk** The potential loss of life, injury, or destroyed or damaged assets which could occur to a system, society or a community in a specific period of time, determined probabilistically as a function of hazard, exposure, vulnerability and capacity (UNDRR, 2023).
- Disaster A serious disruption of the functioning of a community or a society at any scale due to hazardous events interacting with conditions of exposure, vulnerability and capacity, leading to one or more of the following: human, material, economic and environmental losses and impacts (UNDRR, 2023).
- Hazard A process, phenomenon or human activity that may cause loss of life, injury or other health impacts, property damage, social and economic disruption or environmental degradation (UNDRR, 2023).
- Internally Displaced An IDP is "a person who has been forced to flee his or her home for Persons – the same reason as a refugee, but remains in his or her own country and has not crossed an international border" (Kennedy, 2008).
- **Resilience** The ability of a system, community or society exposed to hazards to resist, absorb, accommodate, adapt to, transform and recover from the effects of a hazard in a timely and efficient manner, including

through the preservation and restoration of its essential basic structures and functions through risk management (UNDRR, 2023). **Refugee –** A refugee is someone who has been forced to flee his or her country because of persecution, war or violence. A refugee has a wellfounded fear of persecution for reasons of race, religion, nationality, political opinion or membership in a particular social group. Most likely, they cannot return home or are afraid to do so. War and ethnic, tribal and religious violence are leading causes of refugees fleeing their countries (UNHCR, 2021c). The Rohingya refugees are not officially registered as refugees; however, they are referred to as refugees throughout this study as they meet the UNHCR definition and are often referred as refugees in the literature. In some literature they are referred to as FDMN (forcibly displaced Myanmar national).

- Root causes Root causes refer to the underlying factors that contribute to the vulnerabilities and risks faced by communities. These root causes are the primary drivers of the progression of vulnerability and ultimately lead to disasters.
- **Dynamic pressures** Processes which translate the effect of root causes both temporally and spatially into unsafe conditions as well as the institutional constraints triggered by the interaction between structures and processes (Wisner et al., 1994).

Vulnerability –The characteristics of a person or group and their situation thatinfluence their capacity to anticipate, cope with, resist and recoverfrom the impact of a natural hazard (Wisner et al., 1994).

- Preparedness The knowledge and capacities developed by governments, response and recovery organisations, communities and individuals to effectively anticipate, respond to and recover from the impacts of likely, imminent or current disasters (UNDRR, 2023).
- Mitigation The lessening or minimising of the adverse impacts of a hazardous event (UNDRR, 2023).
- Exposure The situation of people, infrastructure, housing, production capacities and other tangible human assets located in hazard-prone areas (UNDRR, 2023).

List of Figures

Figure 1: Research framework	15
Figure 2: Chapter two section of research framework	17
Figure 3: Key spheres of the concept of vulnerability	24
Figure 4: Triangle of vulnerability	30
Figure 5: Context and consequences	31
Figure 6: The Progression of Safety	45
Figure 7: The cluster approach to humanitarian operations	56
Figure 8: Broad classifications of displaced settlements	61
Figure 9: Intersections of the bodies of knowledge	66
Figure 10: Conceptual framework	75
Figure 11: Abductive, deductive and inductive reasoning	96
Figure 12: Rohingya refugee camps in Bangladesh	114
Figure 13: Maps of refugee camps	115
Figure 14: Exposure profile of the region	116
Figure 15: Hazard profile of the region	118
Figure 16: Deadly flooding in the Rohingya camps	124
Figure 17: Refugee camp in Bhasan Char	128
Figure 18: Coordination of the Rohingya humanitarian response	129
Figure 19: Thematic Network Analysis	175
Figure 20: Chapter six section of research framework	182
Figure 21: The Expand and Contract Model	211
Figure 22: Focus of activities over duration of displacement	212

Figure 23: The Vulnerability Headway Model	214
Figure 24: Vulnerability Fall-back Loop Model	215
Figure 25: Revised Conceptual Model	217

List of Tables

Table 1: Variables that augment vulnerability	40
Table 2: Strategies to address vulnerability	42
Table 3: Progression of safety in the context of humanitarian operations	70
Table 4: Research paradigms	92
Table 5: Sample questions for semi-structured interviews	101
Table 6: Demographics and climate	116
Table 7: Summary table of coding process	135
Table 8: Progression of safety revisited	176
Table 9: Analysis codebook	258
Table 10: Key disaster models	275

Table of Contents

Note to	readers	. i
Stateme	ent of Originality	ii
Dedicat	ioni	ii
Acknow	ledgementsi	v
Abstrac	t	v
List of A	bbreviations vi	ii
Definitio	on of Key Termsi	х
List of F	iguresx	ii
List of T	ables xi	v
Chapter	One - Introduction	1
1.1	Introduction	2
1.2	Background	2
1.3	Problem Statement	5
1.4	Research Question, Aims and Objectives	6
1.5	Research Scope	7
1.6	Methodological approach	9
1.7	Significance	9
1.8	Description of Subsequent Chapters1	3
Chapter	Two - Literature Review1	6
2.1	Introduction1	7
2.2	The Context of Forced Displacement1	9
2.3	Disaster Vulnerability Body of Knowledge2	1
2.4	Humanitarian Operations Body of Knowledge4	7
2.5	Nexus of the bodies of knowledge6	5
2.6	Conceptual Framework7	3
2.7	Propositions from the literature7	8
2.8	Chapter Summary8	6
Chapter	Three - Methodology8	8
3.1	Introduction8	9
3.2	Research Philosophy and Epistemological Stance9	0

3.3	Research Design	93
3.4	Data Collection Method and Tools	98
3.5	Study Site Justification	.103
3.6	Data Analysis Procedure	.104
3.7	Validity and Reliability of the Study	.105
3.8	Ethical Considerations	.106
3.9	Research Limitations	.109
3.10	Chapter Summary	.110
Chapter	r Four – Contextualising the Case Study	.111
4.1	Introduction	.112
4.2	Background	.112
4.3	Study Site	.115
4.4	Disaster Profile of the Region	.116
4.5	Vulnerability of the Rohingya	.121
4.6	Current situation in Cox's Bazar	.125
4.7	Chapter Summary	.131
Chapter Five – Data Analysis		
5.1	Introduction	.133
5.1 5.2	Introduction Description of the analysis process	
		.133
5.2	Description of the analysis process	.133 .136
5.2 5.3	Description of the analysis process Disconnect between knowledge and humanitarian operations (T1)	.133 .136 .143
5.2 5.3 5.4	Description of the analysis process Disconnect between knowledge and humanitarian operations (T1) Livelihoods, access to resources, and built environment for DRR (T2)	.133 .136 .143 .150
5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5	Description of the analysis process Disconnect between knowledge and humanitarian operations (T1) Livelihoods, access to resources, and built environment for DRR (T2) External influences on project design to reduce disaster vulnerability (T3)	.133 .136 .143 .150 .159
5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6	Description of the analysis process Disconnect between knowledge and humanitarian operations (T1) Livelihoods, access to resources, and built environment for DRR (T2) External influences on project design to reduce disaster vulnerability (T3) Organisational and coordination issues affecting programme outcomes (T4)	.133 .136 .143 .150 .159 .167
5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7	Description of the analysis process Disconnect between knowledge and humanitarian operations (T1) Livelihoods, access to resources, and built environment for DRR (T2) External influences on project design to reduce disaster vulnerability (T3) Organisational and coordination issues affecting programme outcomes (T4) Social cohesion and equity issues not sufficiently addressed (T5)	.133 .136 .143 .150 .159 .167 .174
5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7 5.8	Description of the analysis process Disconnect between knowledge and humanitarian operations (T1) Livelihoods, access to resources, and built environment for DRR (T2) External influences on project design to reduce disaster vulnerability (T3) Organisational and coordination issues affecting programme outcomes (T4) Social cohesion and equity issues not sufficiently addressed (T5) Diagramming of results	.133 .136 .143 .150 .159 .167 .174 .176
5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7 5.8 5.9 5.10	Description of the analysis process Disconnect between knowledge and humanitarian operations (T1) Livelihoods, access to resources, and built environment for DRR (T2) External influences on project design to reduce disaster vulnerability (T3) Organisational and coordination issues affecting programme outcomes (T4) Social cohesion and equity issues not sufficiently addressed (T5) Diagramming of results Revisiting the PAS table in the case study context	.133 .136 .143 .150 .159 .167 .174 .176 .179
5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7 5.8 5.9 5.10	Description of the analysis process Disconnect between knowledge and humanitarian operations (T1) Livelihoods, access to resources, and built environment for DRR (T2) External influences on project design to reduce disaster vulnerability (T3) Organisational and coordination issues affecting programme outcomes (T4) Social cohesion and equity issues not sufficiently addressed (T5) Diagramming of results Revisiting the PAS table in the case study context Chapter Summary	.133 .136 .143 .150 .159 .167 .174 .176 .179 .180
5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7 5.8 5.9 5.10 Chapte	Description of the analysis process Disconnect between knowledge and humanitarian operations (T1) Livelihoods, access to resources, and built environment for DRR (T2) External influences on project design to reduce disaster vulnerability (T3) Organisational and coordination issues affecting programme outcomes (T4) Social cohesion and equity issues not sufficiently addressed (T5) Diagramming of results Revisiting the PAS table in the case study context Chapter Summary r Six - Discussion	.133 .136 .143 .150 .159 .167 .174 .176 .179 .180 .181
5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7 5.8 5.9 5.10 Chapter 6.1	Description of the analysis process Disconnect between knowledge and humanitarian operations (T1) Livelihoods, access to resources, and built environment for DRR (T2) External influences on project design to reduce disaster vulnerability (T3) Organisational and coordination issues affecting programme outcomes (T4) Social cohesion and equity issues not sufficiently addressed (T5) Diagramming of results Revisiting the PAS table in the case study context Chapter Summary Introduction	.133 .136 .143 .150 .159 .167 .174 .176 .179 .180 .181 .182

6.5	Discussion on the organisational and coordination issues	
6.6	Discussion on the social cohesion and equity issues	
6.7	Propositions from the literature revisited	
6.8	Recommendations from the discussion	
6.9	Chapter Summary	218
Chapte	r Seven - Conclusion	219
7.1	Introduction	220
7.2.	Summary of key findings	
7.3	Implications for theory	224
7.4	Implications for practice	226
7.5	Scope for Further Research	227
7.6	A Way Forward	229
7.7	Limitations of the study	231
Refere	nce List	232
Append	dices	257
Арре	endix 1 – Full Analysis Codebook	258
Арре	endix 2 – Disaster Model Selection Process	275
Арре	endix 3 - Ethics Approval	
Арре	endix 4 - Consent Form	
Арре	endix 5 - Information Statement	
Арре	ndix 6 - Outputs Related to Study	